

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	E.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/055,521	-	01/23/2002	Michael J. Sullivan	B01-13	9600		
40990	7590	07/23/2004		EXAMINER			
ACUSHNI	ACUSHNET COMPANY				BUTTNER, DAVID J		
333 BRIDG P. O. BOX		Т		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRHAVI		02719		1712	1712		

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/055,521	SULLIVAN, MICH	HAEL J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	David Buttner	1712						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8,12,15-25 and 29-31</u> is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11,13,14 and 26-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8,12,15-25 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)	A) 🖂 Interview Come	/PTO 4423						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	O-152)					

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant's election of alkylphthalates is acknowleged. Although applicant designates claims 4, 23, 24 and 29 as not being drawn to the elected species, these claims do include alkylphthalates as one potential species within their generic definition of plasticizer. Claims 9-11, 13, 14 and 26-28 are considered withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 16, 18, 19, 23-25 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Yokota '157 patent.

Yokota exemplifies (#1) a polyurethane golf ball cover that contains dioctylphthalate other plasticizers such as dibutyl phthalate (col. 4 line 29) can be used. There can be multiple layers beneath the cover (col. 5 line 6).

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-5, 16 18, 19, 21-25, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Dusbiber '061 Patent.

Dushiber produces golf balls having a urethane core or cover (abstract). A plasticizer such as diethylhexyl phthalate (col. 4 line 17) can be included. Dioctyl adipate is actually used in example 5.

Claims 1-5, 16-18, 23-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Kato '663 Patent.

Kato discloses a multilayer golf ball. The center can be a plasticized urethane rubber (col. 2 line 66). The plasticizer can be a phthalate (col. 2 line 42).

Claims 1-8, 12, 23-25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Murphy '253 patent in view of Yakota '157 or Dusbiber '061.

Murphy discloses urethane foams (abstract). The material can be used in covered golf balls (col. 7 line 12). Phthalate ester plasticizers can be included (col 6 line 30). Specific pthalates are not disclosed.

Yokota (col. 4 line 29) and Dusbiber (col. 4 line 17) list common phthalate plasticizers such as dibutyl phthalate urethanes. It would have been obvious to use dibutyl phthalate as the phthalate in Murphy's ball.

Claims 1, 16, 18-22 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Sullivan '618 Patent.

Art Unit: 1712

Sullivan discloses four layer golf balls having a urethane outer cover (abstract). The outer cover is thin (col. 21 line 37) and can contain plasticizer (col. 21 line 66).

Claims 1-6, 16, 18-25 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Sullivan '618 Patent in view of Yokota '157 or Dusbiber '061.

Sullivan suggests plasticizers, but does not name any species.

Yokota (col. 4 line 29) and Dusbiber (col. 4, line 17) list dibutyl phthalate as a suitable plasticizer for urethanes.

It would have been obvious to use any common plasticizer in Sullivan's ball for the expected effect.

Claims 1-5, 15-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Maruko '573 Patent.

Maruko produces three or four layer golf balls. One of the middle layers is a blend of thermoplastic, rubber powder, filler and plasticizer (col. 3 line 30). Resin K (table 3) is a blend of urethane, polybutadiene powder and DOP. DOP is assumed to be di (ethylhexyl) phthalate, which is a dioctylphthalate. "Ethylhexyne" is apparently a misprint.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is (571) 272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

D. Buttner/af July 13, 2004.

Dand Bath